

# CAUSAL INFERENCE AND PUBLIC POLICY IN EUROPE

MA Course, Summer 2021  
Basismodul Seminar Politikwissenschaft V (CGS)

---

**Lecture videos will be uploaded every Wednesday from 14/04 to 02/06**  
**Online live sessions: Mondays 14:00 – 15:00, from April 19 to June 14.**  
**Final projects presentation: June 21**

**Instructor:**

Bruno Castanho Silva, PhD

Cologne Center for Comparative Politics (CCCP)

Email: [bcsilva@wiso.uni-koeln.de](mailto:bcsilva@wiso.uni-koeln.de)

Office hours: By appointment.

---

## 1 How This Course is Structured Online

The weekly schedule will be the following:

- A few short video lectures adding up to 45-60 minutes on the topic of that week, uploaded every Monday;
- A video lecture of the R tutorial, also uploaded every Monday;
- A 1h online R consultation through Zoom, every Monday at 14:00 starting from April 19, for the weekly R tutorial. If this slot does not work for you, write me an email and we can talk another time.

## 2 Course Description

The course focuses on statistical inference for the analysis of public policy across a wide range of contexts. The rise of ‘evidence-based policy making’ has brought the attention of governments, international organizations, and NGOs to rigorous methods of causal inference for impact evaluation. This course covers issues related to the design, implementation, and evaluation of policy changes. Technical aspects will focus on computational approaches and real-world challenges.

### Goals

Students will learn to model cause-and-effect relationships and develop counterfactual scenarios. They will gain experience using computational methods to evaluate and predict the impacts of policies, interventions, and events, while learning to avoid common pitfalls. By the end, students will be able to: (1) think through which of the methods covered in class (if any) would be best suited to solve a given decision problem and what data would be required; (2) perform appropriate analysis and interpret results; (3) connect those results to strategic decision-making; (4) critically examine statistical causal claims put forward by others; and (5) present findings and recommendations effectively for audiences of varying sophistication.

### Prerequisites

Students are expected to be familiar with basic statistical methods for analysis and inference (i.e., run and interpret a linear regression). You should have taken [Introduction to Quantitative Analysis with R](#) or a similar course before starting this. Students should also have a basic familiarity with R.

### Software

All students should have R installed in their computers before the first class. Students are also strongly encouraged to install RStudio. This is a more user-friendly interface for R with integration to other packages we will use throughout the course. RStudio is available for free at <https://www.rstudio.com/>.

### 3 Course Requirements

Students will be assessed based on the following exercises (all are mandatory to pass the course):

- **Two reaction papers (10 points each).** After each session, from weeks 2–7, there is an extra reading related to that topic. During the semester, each student should pick any two sessions/topics and write a reaction paper for each. The paper should be a critical evaluation of that reading, based on the lecture and other readings, focusing on questions such as: are the assumptions met? Is the method properly used, and the right one to test that that theory? Are the results correctly interpreted? Are the conclusions valid, given the analyses? What parts of the analysis could be improved? The deadline for uploading the reaction paper is the Wednesday after the respective video for the topic was uploaded, so you have a week to complete the assignment. The reaction paper should be **no longer than one page**.
- **One of two take-home assignments (20 points).** Two take-home exercises will be posted during the semester, on **May 12** and **June 2** with two weeks to complete each of them – deadlines on **May 26, at 23:55 CET**, and **June 16, 23:55 CET**. Students will be given a dataset and asked to perform analyses in accordance to methods covered in the class thus far. The length of assignments should not exceed **five pages**. **Each student should do only one of the two – pick your favorite.**
- **Final course project (60 points).** For the final project, students should find data and use one of the methods discussed in class to analyze it, in order to answer a policy relevant causal question. Results should be presented as an **infographic**, targeted at a lay audience (and not academic), with an accompanying 2-page technical memo on the data and analyses performed. Depending on the number of students registered, there will be a presentation of the final projects during the live session on **June 21**, to present the design of the project: the policy, research question, case(s), and what data and method will be used. The final infographics should be uploaded by **June 30**.

Points are converted to final grades as follows:

| Points  | Grade |
|---------|-------|
| 100–95  | 1,0   |
| 94.5–90 | 1,3   |
| 89.5–85 | 1,7   |
| 84.5–80 | 2,0   |
| 79.5–75 | 2,3   |
| 74.5–70 | 2,7   |
| 69.5–65 | 3,0   |
| 64.5–60 | 3,3   |
| 59.5–55 | 3,7   |
| 54.5–50 | 4,0   |
| 49–0    | 5,0   |

### 3.1 A Note on Professional Presentation

For the one take-home assignment, I recommend you use RMarkdown. RStudio comes with a powerful authoring format called R Markdown. R Markdown documents look like a mix of a text document and R code. They enable easy creation of data analysis reports directly from R. Rather than copying and pasting into Word, your report is created automatically. R Markdown combines the core syntax of markdown (an easy-to-write plain text format) with embedded R code chunks that are run so their output can be included in the final document. R Markdown documents are fully reproducible (they can be automatically regenerated whenever underlying R code or data changes). Markdown is simple to use as it enables the use of a syntax like plain-text.

1. You need to install  $\text{\LaTeX}$  on your machine. This is a free typesetting software which R Markdown uses. Mac users should install TeXLive, freely available at <https://www.tug.org/texlive/>; Windows users should install `tinytex`, which can be done directly within RStudio, with the following three commands:
  - `install.packages('tinytex')`
  - `library(tinytex)`
  - `install_tinytex()`
2. To use R Markdown, simply create a new R Markdown document in RStudio. This will load a sample document. Select “Knit PDF” to produce a PDF output file with the write up and the code output.

3. More information on the R Markdown syntax is available here: <http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/>.

**NB! Installing and running R and RMarkdown can be tricky. If you are having trouble, please contact the instructor in advance.**

## 4 Schedule

### Week 1 (Apr 14): Causality

Mandatory readings:

Imbens, Guido W., and Donald B. Rubin. 2015. *Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical Sciences: an Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Chapter 1.

Cunningham, Scott. 2021. *Causal Inference: the Mixtape*. Yale University Press, Chapter 3. The book is available online for free here: <https://mixtape.scunning.com/ch2.html>

### Week 2 (Apr 21): What to do when we can't experiment? Introduction to matching

Mandatory readings:

Ho, Daniel E., Kosuke Imai, Gary King, and Elizabeth A. Stuart. 2007. "Matching as Nonparametric Preprocessing for Reducing Model Dependence in Parametric Causal Inference", *Political Analysis* 15(3): 199–236.

Guill, Karin, Oliver Lüdtke, and Olaf Köller. 2017. "Academic tracking is related to gains in students' intelligence over four years: Evidence from a propensity score matching study" *Learning and Instruction* 47: 43–52.

### Week 3 (Apr 28): How to find better matches

Mandatory readings:

Cunningham, Scott. 2021. *Causal Inference: the Mixtape*. Yale University Press, Chapter 5, sections 5.3 and 5.4. The book is available online for free here: <https://mixtape.scunning.com/ch4.html>

Kelley, Judith. 2011. "Do International Election Monitors Increase or Decrease Opposition Boycotts?" *Comparative Political Studies* 44: 1527-1556.

#### **Week 4 (May 05): Regression Discontinuity**

Mandatory readings:

Cunningham, Scott. 2021. *Causal Inference: the Mixtape*. Yale University Press, Chapter 6. The book is available online for free here: <https://mixtape.scunning.com/ch5.html>

Pinotti, Paolo. 2017. “Clicking on Heaven’s Door: The Effect of Immigrant Legalization on Crime.” *American Economic Review* 107(1): 138–168.

Cavaille, Charlotte, and John Marshall. 2018. “Education and Anti-Immigration Attitudes: Evidence from Compulsory Schooling Reforms across Western Europe.” *American Political Science Review*, 1–10.

\*\*\*Attention: No video uploaded on May 12 and no live session on May 10\*\*\*

#### **Week 5 (May 26): Before-after comparisons: Differences-in-Differences and Introduction to Synthetic Controls**

Mandatory readings:

Angrist, Joshua B., and Jörn-Steffen Pischke. 2008. *Mostly Harmless Econometrics*. Princeton University Press: pp. 169–174.

Abadie, Alberto, Alexis Diamond, and Jens Hainmueller. 2015. “Comparative Politics and the Synthetic Control Method.” *American Journal of Political Science* 59(2): 495–510.

Finseraas, Henning. 2019. Social democratic representation and welfare spending: a quantitative case study. *Political Science Research & Methods*, 1-8.

\*\*\*Attention: No video on May 19 and no live session on May 24\*\*\*

#### **Week 6 (June 2): Synthetic Controls II – Multiple treated units at many points in time**

Mandatory readings:

Kreif, Noémi, Richard Grieve, Dominik Hangartner, Alex James Turner, Silviya Nikolova, and Matt Sutton. 2016. “Examination of the Synthetic Control Method for

Evaluating Health Policies with Multiple Treated Units.” *Health Economics* 25(12): 1514–1528.

Cavallo, Eduardo, Sebastian Galiani, Ilan Noy, and Juan Pantano. 2013. “Catastrophic Natural Disasters and Economic Growth.” *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 95(5): 1549–1561.

### **Week 7 (June 9): Instrumental Variables and Natural Experiments**

Mandatory readings:

Sovey, Allison J., and Donald P. Green. 2011. “Instrumental Variables Estimation in Political Science: A Readers’ Guide.” *American Journal of Political Science* 55(1): 188–200

Barone, Guglielmo, and Gaia Narciso. 2015. “Organized crime and business subsidies: Where does the money go?.” *Journal of Urban Economics* 86: 98–110.

Becker, Sascha O., Katrin Boeckh, Christa Hainz, and Ludger Woessmann. 2016. “The Empire is Dead, Long Live the Empire! Long-Run Persistence of Trust and Corruption in the Bureaucracy.” *The Economic Journal* 126(590): 40–74.

### **Week 8 (June 21): Final Course Project Presentation**